

# 2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Tuleyome's Factual Findings

---

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)  
[https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page\\_id=23747](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)

---

## **General Evaluation Criteria:**

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 5 points.
- #6 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

## **Ground Operations: G24-04-15-G01**

### **Project Description – Background**

- No change.

### **Project Description – Project Description**

- The Applicant noted, "trails have been damaged by foot...". The Applicant is reminded rehabilitation of OHV trails damaged by foot... is not an eligible Ground Operations Project activity.

### **Project Description – List of Project Deliverables**

- #1 – The Applicant must specify the number of trail/road miles maintained in the Project.

### **Project Description – All Others**

- No change.

### **Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff #1 "Executive Director" – Staff activities performed by this line item are indirect Project activities as they do not directly relate to the completion of the Project. The Applicant must remove line item (could not move the cost to the

Indirect Costs category as the Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount). Deduct 9,360 from match.

- Contracts #1 "Professional Engineer" – Activities performed by this line item are indirect Project activities as they do not directly relate to the completion of the Project. The Applicant must remove line item (could not move the cost to the Indirect Costs category as the Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount). Deduct 9,840 from match.
- Equipment Use Expenses #1 "Van Rental" – Per Program regulation a use fee for Equipment acquired with funds outside the Grants Program shall never exceed the Grantee's actual cost or a maximum of one hundred and fifty (\$150) dollars per piece of Equipment per day. Deduct \$150 from match. In addition, the Applicant did not provide details on how the "Quantity" (QTY) was determined. The Applicant identified in Staff #3 line item that "Quantity of hours was based on past experience...over 8 work days". Deduct \$1,050 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$1,200.
- Equipment Use Expenses #2 "Quad Rental" – The Applicant did not provide details on how the "Quantity" (QTY) was determined. Applicant identified in Staff #3 line item that "Quantity of hours was based on past experience...over 8 work days". QTY is reduced to eight (8) days. Deduct \$840 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$960.
- Others #1 – Per Program regulation, cost of transporting equipment or personnel is limited to 100 miles each direction per day. The Applicant listed "5 round trips of 204 miles" which exceeds the one hundred (100) miles in each direction per day maximum. Reduce "Quantity" (QTY) by twenty (20) miles. Deduct \$14 from Grant. The revised total for this line item is now \$1,122 Grant and \$0 match.
- Contracts #2 "Grading and construction" – The Applicant does not meet the minimum 26% match requirement for the Project. Move \$17,750 from Grant to match. The revised total for this line item is now \$40,206 Grant and \$17,750 match.
- Indirect Costs #1 "Bookkeeping, insurance, maintenance, etc." – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% allowable amount for Indirect. Deduct \$2,700 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$19,956.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$133,278

Match: \$46,945

Total Project Cost: \$180,223

## Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The Background and/or Project Description sections do not support the selection of "Providing varied levels of riding difficulty". The Applicant did not identify specific Project activities involving trial work being performed that support the selection. Deduct 1 point.
- #6 – The Project Description and/or deliverables do not support the selection of "Providing an alternative to wet crossings...". The narrative does not support the

selection of "Protecting cultural site(s)". The Applicant did not provide example(s) of the activities performed in the Project that support the selection. Deduct 2 points.

- #7 – The Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support the selections "paper used for trail maps" and "other products" that are made with recycled materials. Deduct 2 points.
- #8—The Background and/or Project Description sections do not support the selections. The Applicant did not provide information that supports the selections. The Applicant states non-motorized recreational opportunities within Hunting Creek Trail but does not clearly state if those opportunities are accessed by motorized use within the Project Area pertaining to trail maintenance (ground-disturbing activities). Deduct 6 points.